

Public workshop on innovative financing for energy efficiency and renewables

Energy Efficiency - Covenant of Mayors (Province of Milan, Italy)



Prof. Sergio Zabot - Politecnico di Milano

Former ELENA project director at the Province of Milan

Executive Agency for SMEs

Brussels – April 28, 2015

The Context (included Monza-Brianza Province, established in 2010)



Surface Area	1.984 km2	
Inhabitants [n°]	3.839.216	
Density	1.900 inh/km2	
Municipalities [n°]	189	
Energy Consumption	9.647.000 toe	
Energy Cons. per Capita	2,51 toe/inh.	
CO2 Emissions	35.508.000 tons/y	



The Genesis

The Province has joined the Covenant of Mayors as Support Structure in 2009, representing 65 small Municipalities in the Milan Hinterland.

Many SEAPs and more than 700 building audits granted by the Cariplo Foundation were made available to the Province.

The Aim of the pilot project

Reduce significantly the energy final consumption of the building stock of small Municipalities.

Give birth of a mature ESCO market able to offer Energy Performance Contracts with Guaranteed Results

Increase the know how within the local Administrations in order to improve the Muni's Governance against inherited loss of Sovereignty.



Planned objectives & Results			
	Planned	Results Achieved	Results NOT achieved & Notes
Number of Munis	30-40 Small Munis (< 30.000 inh)	16 Small Munis (200,000 inh.) + the City of Milan (1.5 M.inh.)	31 Small Munis (400.000 inh.) (foresaw within the void tenders)
Number of Bldgs.	350 Buildings	98 Bldgs. in the 16 Munis 38 Bldgs. in Milan city	197 Bldgs. (foresaw within the void tenders)
Investments	€ 90 MIn	€ 13 MIn for small Munis€ 5 MIn for the city of Milan	Expected € 18-20 MIn within the void tenders.
Of which From EIB credit line: Mediocredito Italiano (Intesa Sanpaolo)	€ 65 MIn	€ 5 MIn	Of 4 ESCOs winning the first tender, three got the EIB loan.
Technical Assistance (90% Elena Funding)	€ 2,1 MIn	€ 1,8 MIn	Lower Costs by € 300.000

Detailed Results

- First tender (98 buildings in 16 Munis) awarded (August 2013).
 Energy + O&M Baseline: € 3.8 Mln.
 Minimum Investment Required: € 5.4 Mln.
 Awarded for € 13 Mln.
 Proposed Interventions: Envelope insulations, Micro-Cogen, Heat Pumps, Condensation boilers, Solar Thermal, Lighting sensors, BEMS, etc ...
 Guaranteed Savings: 35%
 Budgetary relief for Munis: 5% of Guaranteed Savings
 Concession duration: 15 years

 Third tender awarded for the city of Milan (38 schools) (August 2014)

 Energy + O&M Baseline: € 2.3 Mln.
 Minimum Investment Required: € 3.5 Mln.
 - Awarded for € 5.14 Mln.

10

- Proposed Interventions: Envelope insulations, Condensation boilers, Solar Thermal, Solar PV, LED installation, Lighting sensors, BEMS, etc ...
- Guaranteed Savings: 35.5%
- Budgetary relief for the City of Milan: 15.5% of Guaranteed Savings
- Concession duration: 15 years

The Second tender (two lots - 197 buildings in 31 Munis) with 7 ESCOs pre-selected (short list) went void for two consecutive times. (June 2013 and May 2014)

Simplified Technical-Financial Flow-Chart of the Programme





Fondazione Cariplo (FC) is one of the largest Italian banking foundations. Founded in 1991, mainly operates in Lombardy and Piedmont.

Between 2006-2008, the Foundation has funded, between 3.700 building energy audits of smaller Municipalities, of which <u>700 in the Province of Milan</u>, through a call known as: ENERGY AUDITS OF PUBLIC BUILDINGS OWNED BY SMALL & MEDIUM MUNICIPALITIES.



A survey of the Observatory of the Foundation found that in general the energy audits did not significantly stimulated the implementation of energy efficiency measures by municipalities, mainly because of the lack of economic resources and the Stability Pact.

The project "Energy efficiency - Covenant of Mayors" of the Province of Milan, is based on these audits.

AUDIT DATA BASE http://www.webgis.fondazionecariplo.it



The selection of financial intermediary (Commercial Bank)

Spring 2010 – early contacts with Commercial Banks ... (all seems to be fine) ...

October 2011 – closing of first tender – Void ...

3

April 2012 – closing of second tender – Void ...

May 2013 - contract signature between EIB and the Commercial Intermediary Bank (MedioCredito Italiano – Intesa Sanpaolo Group).

Then ... black-out on the negotiations among ESCOs & the Commercial Bank.

But ... of 4 ESCOs grouped in the Consortium winning the first tender:

- 1 got the EIB loan from MedioCredito Italiano ... only for 5 years;
- 2 got the loan, but by means of the above ESCO;
- 1 where not financed by MedioCredito (not enough creditwortiness);

Other than Corporate creditworness, main reason probably was the refusal (or impossibility) to build a Special Purpose Vehicle as required by MedioCredito

The Public Procurement Process

Contractual instrument: <u>Concession of Services</u>, off-balance for the Municipalities, in accordance the Eurostat decision of February 2004 and enforced by italian Government (Circular 03/27/2009)

Procedure adopted: <u>Restricted</u> – two steps - (pre-qualification and invitation to tender)

- Step 1 Pre-qualification containing the general information (baselines & sums involved), based on self-declarations
- Standard legal & economic requirements (tailored to de sums involved);
- Compliance with ISO 9001:2008 and UNI-CEI-11352 (ESCo Certification) standards

Step 2 - Documents produced within the Invitation to Tender:

- Invitation to tender
- O&M Terms of Reference (shared with Munis)
- Draft of Framework Contract: Provincia ESCo
- Draft of Operating Contracts: ESCo Municipalities. (shared with Munis)
- Complete Assessed Energy Audits (Cariplo Foundation borne)
- Baselines (Assessed directly with MUNIs)

The whole procedure took 8 months

Evaluation tasks: 2 internal dedicated committees with technical support of Elena Team.

The Framework Contract, Prov \rightarrow ESCo, signed within one month from the end of stand-still period.

The Operating Contracts, Munis \rightarrow ESCo, signed after individual negotiations with the support of the Legal Advisor and the Project Team. (delayed by one year because of the mismatch with the agreement with the Commercial Bank)

The Epilogue - 1

The negotiation of the first 16 operating contracts, have been badly delayed due to the mismatch of the agreement with the commercial bank.

As results, the final design and the executive drawings, took more than one year to be approved by Munis and the summer 2013 slot was lost.

Refurbishment works for a first group of 8 Munis has been implemented in the 2014 summer slot.





ne energetica di 98 edifici pubblici appartenenti a 16 Comuni della Provincia di Mila

azione di cappotti termici su alcune scuole e la riconversione degli impianti termici de

ono stati avviati i primi cantieri per il rifacimento delle





According to preliminary data, the results look better than expected, although ESCOs have complained about the underestimation of the envelope losses in the energy audits Works for the second group of Munis are expected in the 2015 summer slot.

The Epilogue - 2

The tender for the City of Milan went differently:

It was spent one year to prepare the tender documentation, missing the 2013 summer slot (The relations with the municipal offices were not the best).

The tender has been awarded in July 2014 and the Framework Contract has been signed in October 2014 by the Province with the winning ESCo.

The City does not intend to sign the contract, claiming a best deal with an other ESCo, chosen independently without notifying the Province.

Meanwhile, from January 2015, the Muni of Milan and the Province have merged in the so called "Metropolitan City"

Injunctions and lawsuits are under way between all the actors concerned ... and perhaps the disputes will be resolved by the anti-corruption autority ...

Sed fugit, interea fugit, irreparabile tempus ... (Virgilio)



Addressed Barriers – market side

The Italian EPC market is at the very beginning and Energy Supply Contracting is predominant over Energy Performance Contracting.

The Major ESCOs, well capitalized and well inserted in the public market, tend to boycott tenders for EPC with Guaranteed Results ... because they prefer embedded contracts (Refurbishment, O&M, Fuel Supply) without cost separation and thus without full transparency.

On the other side, Small & Medium ESCOs do not have sufficient capitalization to hold long and complex contracts and have difficult access to bank loans.

Banks tend to not accept the cash flows generated by energy savings as main collateral and thus they adopt a very tight precautionary behaviour versus ESCOs against the risk of insolvency.

Lack of a market for Performance Bonds issued by independent Insurance Companies in order to insure customers if ESCOs fail to satisfy their performance contracts.

Addressed Barriers – Public Administrations side

Lack of legal and technical knowledge on EPC for small Municipalities which can not afford the costs of an external consultancy when preparing and implementing complex tenders.

Inadequacy of Municipalities to comply with appropriate trade-off between Governance improvement and share of Sovereignty that PPPs imply.

Further on, the main phase of "Obligation to Perform" an Energy Saving Guaranteed Contract, requires the understanding and the application of a Monitoring & Verification protocol.

During the energy audits has been frequently detected a lack of reliable consumption data. A large number of Municipalities do not record, or often adopt rather arbitrary accounting systems of their O&M costs.

Still remains the technical problem to establish the heat losses of the building envelopes (the U-values are often Over-estimated)

Time and costs needed to train the personnel is often undervalued. Also time required to run the administrative procedures, is often underestimated.

Other Barriers (not addressed here)

There are still heavy institutional & market barriers:

- Legislative instability & complexity of bureaucratic procedures.
- Frequent administrative Stop & Go imposed by national and local regulations
- Due to the "Stability Pact", impossibility for Munis to allocate equity funds for measures with long pay-back times (e.g. intervention on the building envelopes coupled within ESCo contracts).
- Complex incentive systems and with different timing among all actors (EU, Member States, Regions, Local)
- Strong & powerful lobbies capable of hindering the diffusion of EPC
- Opaque relationships with Banks in general



Public workshop on innovative financing for energy efficiency and renewables

Thank you for your attention

sergio.zabot@polimi.it

Executive Agency for SMEs

Brussels – April 28, 2015